

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/752,912	12/28/2000	Malcolm M Smith	062891.0443	9607
7	7590 09/17/2004		EXAMINER	
Barton E. Showalter			JAGANNATHAN, MELANIE	
Baker Botts L.: 2001 Ross Ave			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75201-2980			2666	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	j	us			
ant(s)		٦			
OLM M. SMITH					
it					
ondence add	ress				
R ALLOWANCE. A proper reply to a es the application in I Request for Continued					
ection, whichever is later. In no rejection. REJECTION. See MPEP					
d the appropriate extension fee e appropriate extension fee under Office action; or (2) as set forth in en if timely filed, may reduce any					
et forth in ppeal.					
TE below);					
reducing or					
ejected clai	ms.				
e, timely file	d amendment				
but does No	OT place the				
es which we	ere newly				
l be entered ppended.	and an				

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/752,912	MALCOLM M. SMITH		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Melanie Jagannathan	2666		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspo

THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application.

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	е
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant argues Applicant has argued that reference Frid et al. does not disclose amended limitation of requesting subscription information from the home agent in advance of mobile unit roaming into foreign network associated with a foreign agent because reference discloses mobile station has realized it has traveled into new geographic area (column 4, II. 32-36). Examiner contends Frid et al. teaches method of said claims as it discloses base station receiving mobile identification number, identifying home agent and IP address of mobile unit in order to set up tunnel between home and foreign agents before a data communication session for mobile unit has been started just as disclosed by specification of instant application.

FRANK DUONG
PRIMARY EXAMINER